

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

FU ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
03/26/2001	Byung-jo Lee	AB-1129 US	9956	
7590 03/28/2003 SKJERVEN MORRILL MACPHERSON LLP			EXAMINER	
25 METRO DRIVE SUITE 700 SAN JOSE, CA 951.10			SNIDER, THERESA T	
			PAPER NUMBER	
		1744 DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003	14	
,	90 03/28/2003 IORRILL MACPHEI IVE	03/26/2001 Byung-jo Lee  90 03/28/2003  MORRILL MACPHERSON LLP  IVE	03/26/2001 Byung-jo Lee AB-1129 US 00 03/28/2003 00RRILL MACPHERSON LLP EXAMI  1VE SNIDER, TH	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0

4		Application No.	Applicant(s)	#S-
-		Application No.	LEE, BYUNG-JO	
	•	09/818,689	Art Unit	
Office Action Summary		Examiner		
•		Theresa T. Snider	1744	ddr ss
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover snee	t With the correspondence	
	REPLY  ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY  ORTENED STATUTORY PERIO			
THE N - Extension after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	PRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD PORKED AND ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the main different part of the province o	1.136(a). In no event, however, m reply within the statutory minimum of od will apply and will expire SIX (6)	ay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered tim  MONTHS from the mailing date of this	ely. communication.
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	12 Dec <u>ember 2002</u> .		
1)⊠	2h\□	This action is non-final.		
2a)⊠	This action is that the	for forma	l matters, prosecution as to	the merits is
3)□	closed in accordance with the practice and	der Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims  Claim(s) 1-20 and 28 is/are pending in the	application.		
4)⊠	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideratio	n.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 28</u> is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	nd/or election requireme	nt.	
8) 🗌 Applica	tion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	to by the Evaminer	
10)	is/ara: a)	accented or b)   objected	s shovance. See 37 CFR 1.85	(a).
, –	The drawing(s) filed on الالمجادة عبراً Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be need in	Tabeyance: coo or disapprov	ed by the Examine
11)区	The proposed drawing correction filed on 1	2 December 2002 is. a)	△ approve= =/= · · ·	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action	1.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
			(A) (A) (6)	
1315	nunder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 125  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	oreign priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(a) or (i).	
13)2	None of:			
'	- us a series of the priority doct	ıments have been receiv	ed.	
	doct	iments have been receiv	ed in Application (10)	. ·
	3. Copies of the certified copies of th	e priority documents hav	e peen received in this rida. ' 2(a)).	onai Staye
	application from the Internation  * See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified cop	ILS C. & 119(e) (to a provis	ional application)
14)[	The standard of a claim for do	mestic priority under 33	0.3.0. 9 110(0) (10 11 11	
	<ul><li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langua</li><li>☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d</li></ul>			
Attachr		ΔΠ	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pa	oer No(s) ·
1) 🔲 N	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) / 5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	on (PTO-152)
3) 🖾 1	mornauon disclosure Caraman, 7,			Part of Paper No. 14

Application/Control Number: 09/818,689

Art Unit: 1744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-20 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Exemplary of such:

Claim 1, line 1, the preamble sets forth 'an apparatus employed in a vacuum cleaner' however the claim recites a 'suction assembly' and other elements that would lead one to believe that a vacuum cleaner is being claimed rather than an apparatus to be use din a vacuum cleaner;

Line 21, it is unclear as to what is meant by 'supplies an activated state';
Line 24, should 'generated by' or 'created' or the like be inserted before 'from'?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/818,689

Art Unit: 1744

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1-2 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnes et al. in view of Hughes and Jones et al..

Barnes et al. discloses a similar apparatus however fails to disclose a driving switch and power supply means.

Barnes et al. discloses a suction assembly (fig. 1, #12).

Barnes et al. discloses a dust-collecting chamber (fig. 1, #88,86).

Barnes et al. discloses a driving portion for generating a negative pressure (fig. 3, #82).

Barnes et al. discloses a floor cloth rotatably driven in the suction assembly (fig. 9, #150, col. 5, lines 10-12).

Barnes et al. discloses a rotary member for rotating the floor cloth in a plane substantially parallel to the cleaning surface (fig. 9, #22).

Barnes et al. discloses a rotary driving means (fig. 9, #44).

Hughes discloses the use of a driving switch on a handle to operate a rotary driving means that rotates parallel to a cleaning surface and a driving portion (col. 4, lines 4-6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the rotary driving means and driving portion of Barnes et al. would be controlled by a driving switch on it's handle because it

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/818,689

Art Unit: 1744

is well known in the art to locate such switches on the handle, as disclosed in Barnes et al., to allow for easy access by an operator.

Jones et al. discloses an apparatus with a rotary driving member having a switch on the handle with a power supplying means connecting the switch to the driving member (col. 4, lines 11-16 and 29-32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the power supply means of Jones et al. in Barnes et al. in view of Hughes because it is known in the art that there would need to be an electrical connection between the switch and the driving member to allow for proper operation thereof.

With respect to claim 2, Jones et al. discloses the power supply means being disposed in a Separate space with a protective cover for housing power terminals (figs. 1-2, #20,22).

With respect to claim 28, Barnes et al. discloses the floor cloth to be arranged to substantially entirely contact the cleaning surface (fig. 1).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3-20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1744

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Otto discloses a vacuum cleaning apparatus with a rotary driving member that rotates parallel to a cleaning surface with a driving switch on the handle.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theresa T. Snider whose telephone number is (703) 305-0554. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday (6:30AM-3:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on (703) 308-2920. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/818,689

Art Unit: 1744

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 879-9310 for regular communications and (703) 305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

THERESAT. SNIDER

Theresa T. Snider Examiner Art Unit 1744

TTS March 24, 2003